

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 290/10

Altus Group Ltd 17327 - 106A Avenue Edmonton AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

| Roll Number | Assessed | Municipal | Legal | Assessment | Assessment |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Value | Address | Description | Туре | Notice for: |
| 8886574 | \$2,250,500 | 8726 61 | Plan: 7821657 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 12 Lot: 15 | | |
| 9541400 | \$2,770,500 | 7225 50 Street | Plan: 2214HW | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | NW | Block: F Lot: 2 | | |
| 9506759 | \$670,500 | 7139 77 | Plan: 7884AH | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 3 Lot: 25 | | |
| | | | & 26 | | |
| 9506775 | \$670,500 | 7133 77 | Plan: 7884AH | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 3 Lot: 27 | | |
| | | | & 28 | | |
| 8991804 | \$5,151,000 | 9116 39 | Plan: 6207KS | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 5 Lot: 12 | | |
| 9994226 | \$5,837,500 | 9830 32 | Plan: 0126310 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 17 Lot: 10 | | |
| 9984978 | \$3,872,000 | 9404 41 | Plan: 0024106 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 19 Lot: 22 | | |
| 9986428 | \$10,122,500 | 9404 39 | Plan: 6207KS | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 5 Lot: 7 | | |
| | | | & 8 | | |
| 8480220 | \$4,948,000 | 4703 101 | Plan: 3248TR | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Street NW | Block: 1 Lot: 9 | | |
| 9957568 | \$3,114,000 | 17203 109 | Plan: 9820462 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 8 Lot: 20 | | |
| 2179208 | \$810,000 | 14740 115 | Plan: 1751KS | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 7 Lot: 23 | | |

| 8622607 | \$1,302,500 | 9930 65 | Plan: 3888HW | Annual - New | 2010 |
|----------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 34 Lot: 2 | | |
| | | | & 3 | | |
| 1080506 | \$4,759,000 | 4175 95 Street | Plan: 8122283 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | NW | Block: 19 Lot: 21 | | |
| 9552787 | \$2,345,000 | 4350 82 | Plan: 7520086 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 1 Lot: 3 | | |
| 10092688 | \$5,874,500 | 15986 118 | Plan: 0725486 | Annual - New | 2010 |
| | | Avenue NW | Block: 1 Lot: 4A | | |

Before:

Board Officer:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Dale Doan, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Persons Appearing: Complainant Walid Melhem

Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Respondent Marty Carpentier, Assessor Stephen Leroux, Assessor Mary-Alice Lesyk, Assessor Tanya Smith, Law Branch

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The 2010 assessment complaints represented by the above listed roll numbers were based solely on the income approach to value, which the Complainant has chosen not to pursue.

The Board based on this information will confirm the assessments originally under appeal.

ISSUES

The Complainant had attached a schedule listing numerous issues to the complaint form. However, most of those issues were abandoned and only the following issue remained for the Board to decide:

• What is the typical market value of the subject property based on the income approach to value?

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- *b)* the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to confirm the assessments of the above listed roll numbers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Based on the agreement of both parties as highlighted under preliminary matters above, the Board confirms the assessments of the above listed roll numbers.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 22nd day of October, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Presiding Officer

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board Lazy B Corporation Seamay Investments Inc. Eskimo Equities Inc. West Two Enterprises Ltd. 1047107 Alberta Ltd. Baramy Investments Ltd. Gregg Properties Co Ltd. Wayne Construction Ltd. Paragon Investments Ltd. 828939 Alberta Ltd. 952406 Alberta Ltd. Acklands - Grainger Inc.